
HUARTE DE SAN JUAN

Filología y Didáctica de la Lengua / Filologia eta Hizkuntzaren Didaktika

Revista de la Facultad de Ciencias Humanas, Sociales y de la Educación de la Universidad Pública de Navarra
Nafarroako Unibertsitate Publikoko Giza, Gizarte eta Hezkuntza Zientzien Fakultatearen aldizkaria

Review Form

Article Code : _____
Title Article : _____
Reviewer : _____
Review Date : _____

A. Review Criteria

The criteria to take into consideration are the following:

1. **Originality**
2. **Relevance**
3. **Content**
 - a. **Title:** Appropriate for the content of the article
 - b. **Abstract:** It has 200 -250 words and shows the content of the article including at least purpose, objectives, methodology followed and main results.
 - c. **Introduction:** Provides enough contextualisation for the research and clearly shows the objective of the paper.
 - d. **Literature review:** Offers an appropriate revision of previous works on the topic to show the reader how his research fits into previous research on the topic.
 - e. **Methodology:** all the procedures, materials, instruments and data analysis are appropriately and clearly explained.
 - f. **Results:** presents the data concisely and accurately.
 - g. **Discussion:** all the results are interpreted in relation to the objectives of the research and relevant references and links are made to previous research in the area. The discussion should include the relevance of the findings and their implications.
 - h. **Conclusions:** Appropriately drawn conclusion based on the data and reflection on their relevance and possible applications, it helps to expand or further research in this area
4. **Style and language:** Correct language and appropriate style. References and citations correspond to APA.

Review Table

	Excellent	Good	Regular	Poor	Insufficient
1. Originality					
2. Relevancy					
3. Content					
a) Title					
b) Abstract					
c) Introduction					
d) Literature Review					
e) Method					
f) Results					
g) Discussion					
h) Conclusion					
4. Style and language					

B. Recommendations

- Accept
- Accept with minor revision
- Resubmit major revision
- Reject because:
 - Results are not new or relevant
 - Preliminary results
 - Unclear focus or presentation
 - Incomplete data
 - Too long
 - Lack of relevance and lack of contextualized research
 - other

C. Comments

1.- Summarize the strengths and weaknesses of the article

Introduce as much text as needed

2.- Comments only for editors

Introduce as much text as needed

3.- Comments for author

Introduce as much text as needed